

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

COMMISSIONER FOR FATER 13	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
Alexandra, virginia 22313 1430	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,802	03/29/2004	Toru Shibutani	A8319.0043/P043	9730
24998 7	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN	SHAPIRO MORIN & C	GABOR, OTILIA		
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tubinington, Do 2003			2884	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,802	SHIBUTANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Otilia Gabor	2884				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch_2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/04</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said anticoincidence counter" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

NOTE: claim 5 has not been examined on the merits because the limitation refers to an element and its function, which is not present in the independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2884

5. Claims 1, 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kogawa et al. (US 2003/0030444 A1).

Kogawa discloses an alpha-ray measuring method and device comprising: an alpha-ray detector including a plurality of semiconductor detectors (1); an adder (31) for adding output signals from the semiconductor detectors (1); a peak analyzer (30e) for analyzing an energy distribution of alpha-rays based on the addition of output signals of the semiconductor detectors (1); and a data processor (40, 50) for specifying an energy range to be evaluated and the result displayed (see Fig.14, Col.11, lines 40-49). Kogawa discloses an embodiment where the detectors are in a single plane (see Fig.13).

- 6. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 7. Claims 1, 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kogawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,639,392)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filling date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Art Unit: 2884

Kogawa discloses an alpha-ray measuring method and device comprising: an alpha-ray detector including a plurality of semiconductor detectors (1); an adder (31) for adding output signals from the semiconductor detectors (1); a peak analyzer (30e) for analyzing an energy distribution of alpha-rays based on the addition of output signals of the semiconductor detectors (1); and a data processor (40, 50) for specifying an energy range to be evaluated and the result displayed (see Fig.14, Col.11, lines 40-49). Kogawa discloses an embodiment where the detectors are in a single plane (see Fig.13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2884

10. Claims 2-4, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kogawa et al. and further in view of Schneider et al. (U. S. Patent 3,678,275).

Regarding claims 2, 8 Kogawa discloses the alpha-ray detector as claimed but fails to disclose an anticoincidence counter for anticoincidently counting the output signals of the detectors. Schneider discloses an alpha-ray detector comprising a plurality of detectors including an anticoincidence detector 278, for counting the output signal from the other detector 276 in order to eliminate the particles that pass through the first detector (i.e., to make sure that the analyzer analyses only particles that are stopped in the detector and thus particles that are not anticoincidently counted). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the anticoincidence detector of Schneider in the Kogawa system in order to eliminate any particles that are not detected by the detector from being analyzed, since introduction of particles that are coincidently counted into the analyzer introduces measurement errors.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 9, 10 Kogawa fails to disclose semiconductor detectors that are positioned on planes one above the other however such an arrangement would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art (*In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70).

Art Unit: 2884

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 571-272-2435.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Otilia Gabor

Primary Examiner

PRIMARY EXAMINER